

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/643,641	08/18/2003	Mark Munch	COOL-00901	4440		
7590 04/28/2005			EXAMINER			
Thomas B. Haverstock HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			JIANG, CH	JIANG, CHEN WEN		
162 North Wol		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Sunnyvale, CA	A 94086	3744	:			
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applies	ition No.	Applicant(s)	WK				
Office Action Summary									
		10/643		MUNCH ET AL.					
	omos Action Gummary	Examin		Art Unit					
	The MAII INC DATE of this communication		/en Jiang	3744					
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	uon appears on t	ne cover sneet wi	ın ine correspondence ad	aress				
THE M Extensi after Si - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sof time may be available under the provisions of 3 x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or indo for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) described for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ays, a reply within the s my period will apply and by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a re tatutory minimum of thirt I will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status				-					
1) 🛛 R	desponsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>04 April 2005</i> .							
· <u>—</u>	•								
<u>'</u>									
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
<u> </u>		nlication		-					
•	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-132 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-24,36-46,58-69 and 71-132 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13,25-35,47-57 and 70 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
· ·									
=									
Application			·						
	·			-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		y trie Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action of John PT	0-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)∏ Ad a)⊟	cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2	☐ Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have be	en received in A	pplication No					
3	☐ Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority docur	nents have been	received in this National	Stage				
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2(a)).						
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the ce	rtified copies not	received.					
				-					
Attachment(s	1								
`	<i>)</i> of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO lo(s)/Mail Date <u>3/21/05</u> .	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	nformal Patent Application (PTC —	)-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-13,25,26,28-35,47,48,50-57 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oberholzer et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,119,729).

Oberholzer et al. disclose a freeze protection apparatus. Referring to Figs. 1 and 1a, a freeze-protected conduit 10 comprises an elongated conduit 12 for conveying or containing liquid, and an elongated, compressible elastomeric material 14 disposed within the conduit 12. Examples of compressible elastomeric material 14 include foam, rubber, foamed neoprene and silicone sponge rubber. Preferably, the compressible elastomeric material 14 is fully sealed on all its sides and ends by a liquid impermeable membrane 18 to form an insert 20 which is disposed inside of conduit 12. A choice for membrane material is a thin metal foil coated with a protective layer such as a plastic film. Another preferred choice is a thin, flexible, plastic membrane materials include polyester and fluoropolymers. Referring to Figs. 6 and 7, heat exchanger 50 has

Art Unit: 3744

supply header 68 (inlet chamber), discharge header 72 (outlet chamber) and collectors 56. Every fluid system in solar collector 50 may be adapted for use with the freeze protection apparatus of the apparatus. Under the principals of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process.

Page 3

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3,27 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oberholzer et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,119,729).

The reference discloses the compression calculation claimed except for the 5 to 25 percent of the amount of fluid expansion. It is not patentable, however, to discover the optimum of workable ranges of the expansion by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955), MPEP Section 2144.05(IIA).

6. Claims 3,27 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oberholzer et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,119,729) in view of Mihara (JP 10099592 with machine English translation).

Art Unit: 3744

The reference discloses the compression calculation claimed except for the 5 to 25 percent of the amount of fluid expansion. Mihara disclose a method and apparatus to prevent a pump from being damaged due to the freezing of water by incorporating a freely compressible body in a chamber of the pump. The freely compressible hollow part 32 is incorporated into the pump chamber 1 absorbs the expansion in volume of ice to eliminate the pressure on the inner wall of the pump. The hollow part 32 is formed by foam which can be contracted freely. The ratio of the hollow part 32 volume to the pump chamber volume is made about 10% or more. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Oberholzer et al. with an expansion in view of Mihara so as to absorption of fluid expansion between 5-25%.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Firey (U.S. Patent Number 596,062) and Florian (U.S. Patent Number 2,273,505) are made of record as relevant prior art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,641

Art Unit: 3744

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner 95